arXiv:1004.2669v2 [math.DG] 5 Oct 2010

# Nonlinear elliptic fourth order equations existence and multiplicity results

Mohammed Benalili and Kamel Tahri

Abstract. This paper deals with the existence of solutions to a class of fourth order nonlinear elliptic equations. The technique used relies on critical points theory. The solutions appeared as critical points of a functional restricted to a suitable manifold.In the case of constant coefficients we obtain the existence of tree distinct solutions.

## 1. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian compact smooth $n-$manifold, $n \geq 5$, with metric $g$ and scalar curvature $S_g$, we let $H_2^2(M)$ be the standard Sobolev space which is the completion of the space

$$C_2^2(M) = \left\{ u \in C^\infty(M) \colon \ \|u\|_{2,2} < +\infty \right\}$$

with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{2,2} = \sum_{l=0}^{2} \left\| \nabla^l u \right\|_2$.

In this paper, we investigate solutions of a class of fourth order elliptic equations, on compact $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, of the form

$$\Delta^2 u + \nabla^i (a(x) \nabla_i u) + b(x) u = f(x) \left| u \right|^{N-2} u + \lambda \left| u \right|^{q-2} u \tag{1}$$

where $a$, $b$, and $f$ are smooth functions on $M$ , $N = \frac{2n}{n-4}$ is the critical exponent, $1 < q < 2$ a real number, $\lambda > 0$ a real parameter.

Consideration for such problem comes from conformal geometry: indeed, in 1983, Paneitz [**8**] introduced a conformal fourth order operator defined on 4-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which was generalized by Branson [**3**] to higher dimensions.

$$PB_g(u) = \Delta^2 u + div\left(-\frac{(n-2)^2+4}{2(n-1)(n-2)} S_g.g + \frac{4}{n-2} Ric\right) du + \frac{n-4}{2} Q^n u$$

where $\Delta u = -div\,(\nabla u)$, $R$ is the scalar curvature, $Ric$ is the Ricci curvature of $g$ and where

$$Q^n = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\Delta S_g + \frac{n^3-4n^2+16n-16}{8(n-1)^2(n-2)^2}S_g^2 - \frac{2}{(n-2)^2}\left|Ric\right|^2$$

is associated to the notion of $Q$ -curvature.

We refer to a Paneitz-Branson type operator as an operator of the form

$$P_g u = \Delta^2 u + \nabla^i(a(x)\nabla_i u) + h(x)u.$$

Equation (1) is a perturbation of the equation

$$\Delta^2 u + \nabla^i(a(x)\nabla_i u) + h(x)u = f(x)\left|u\right|^{N-2}u. \tag{2}$$

Since the embedding $H_2^2 \hookrightarrow H_N^k$ , ( $k=0,\,1$) fails to be compact, as known, one encounters serious difficulties in solving equations like (1).

Since 1990 many results have been established for precise functions $a$, $h$ and $f$. D.E. Edmunds, D. Fortunato, E. Jannelli([**8**]) proved for $n \geq 8$ that if $\lambda \in (0,\lambda_1)$, with $\lambda_1$ is the first eigenvalue of $\Delta^2$ on the euclidean open ball $B$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \lambda u = u\left|u\right|^{\frac{8}{n-4}} \text{ in } B \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial B \end{cases}$$

has a non trivial solution.

In 1995, R. Van der Vorst ([**8**]) obtained the same results as D.E. Edmunds, D. Fortunato, E. Jannelli. when applied to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \lambda u = u\left|u\right|^{\frac{8}{n-4}} \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega$ is an open bounded set of $R^n$ and moreover he showed that the solution is positive

In ([**7**]) D.Caraffa studied the equation

$$\Delta^2 u + \nabla^i(a(x)\nabla_i u) + b(x)u = f(x)\left|u\right|^{N-2}u \tag{3}$$

in the case $f(x)$ =constant; and in the particular case where the functions $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are precise constants she obtained the existence of positive regular solutions.

Let $f$ be a $C^\infty$ function on $M$, $f^- = -\inf(f,0)$, $f^+ = \sup(f,0)$ and for $a$,$b$, $f$ , $C^\infty$ -functions on $M$, we let

$$\lambda_{a,f} = \inf_{u\in A}\frac{\int_M(\Delta u)^2 dv_g - \int_M a\left|\nabla u\right|^2 dv_g}{\int_M u^2 dvg}$$

where $A = \left\{u \in H_2,\, u \geq 0,\, u \not\equiv 0 \text{ s. t. } \int_M f^- u dv_g = 0\right\}$, and

$$\lambda_{a,f} = +\infty \quad \text{if} \qquad A = \phi$$

the second author establish the following:

**Theorem 1.** [**3**] *Let $a$, $h$ be $C^{\infty}$ functions on $M$ with $h$ negative. For every $C^{\infty}$ function, $f$ on $M$ with $\int_M f^- dv_g > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ which depends only on $\frac{f^-}{\int f^- dv_g}$ such that if $f$ satisfies the following conditions*

(1) $|h(x)| < \lambda_{a,f}$ *for any $x \in M$*
(2) $\frac{\sup f^+}{\int f^- dv_g} < C$
(3) $\sup_M f > 0$,

*then the subcritical equation*

$$\Delta^2 u + \nabla^i(a\nabla_i u) + hu = f\,|u|^{q-2}\,u, \qquad q \in ]2, N[$$

*has at least two distinct solutions $u$ and $v$ satisfying $F_q(u) < 0 < F_q(v)$ and of class $C^{4,\alpha}$, for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$.*

**Theorem 2.** [**3**] *Let $a$, $h$ be $C^{\infty}$ functions on $M$ with $h$ negative. For every $C^{\infty}$ function $f$ on $M$ with $\int_M f^- dv_g > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ which depends only on $\frac{f^-}{\int f^- dv_g}$ such that if $f$ satisfies the following conditions*

(1) $|h(x)| < \lambda_{a,f}$ *for any $x \in M$*
(2) $\frac{\sup f^+}{\int f^- dv_g} < C$

*the critical equation*

$$\Delta^2 u + \nabla^i(a\nabla_i u) + hu = f\,|u|^{N-2}\,u$$

*has a solution of class $C^{4,\theta}$, for some $\theta \in (0,1)$, with negative energy.*

Let

$$(4) \quad \Delta^2 u + \nabla^i(a(x)\nabla_i u) + h(x)u = f(x)\,|u|^{N-2}\,u + \lambda\,|u|^{q-2}\,u + \epsilon g(x)$$

where $a$, $h$, $f$ and $g$ are smooth functions on $M$, $N = \frac{2n}{n-4}$ is the critical exponent, $2 < q < N$ a real number, $\lambda > 0$ a real parameter and $\epsilon > 0$ any small real number the second author obtain

**Theorem 3.** [**4**] *Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian $n-$manifold, $n \geq 6$, $a$, $h$, $f$, $g$ be smooth real functions on $M$ with*

*(i) $f(x) > 0$ and $g(x) > 0$ everywhere on $M$*

*(ii) the operator $P(u) = \Delta^2 u + \nabla^i(a(x)\nabla_i u) + h(x)u$ is coercive*

*(iii) if $n > 6$, we suppose $\frac{\Delta f(x_o)}{2f(x_o)} + C_1(n)S_g(x_o) + C_2(n)a(x_o) > 0$ and if $n = 6$, we suppose that $\frac{4}{3n}S_g(x_o) + \frac{1}{(n-4)}a(x_o) > 0$, where $C_1(n) = \frac{5n^2(n-7)+52(n-1)}{6n(n+2)(5n-6)}$ and $C_2(n) = \frac{8(n-1)}{(n+2)(n-6)}$*

*Then equation (4) has at least two distinct solutions in $H_2$*

Our main results in this paper state as follows

**Theorem 4.** *Let* $(M,g)$ *be an* $n$*-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with* $n \geqslant 6$ *and* $f$ *a positive smooth function on* $M$*. Assume that the operator* $P(u) = \Delta^2 u + \nabla^i (a(x)\nabla_i u) + b(x)u$ *is coercive.*

*If* $n > 6$ *and at the point* $x_o$ *where the function* $f$ *achieves its maximum the following condition is satisfied*

$$\frac{n\left(n^2+4n-20\right)}{2\left(n-2\right)\left(n^2-4\right)}S_g\left(x_o\right)+\frac{n\left(n-6\right)}{\left(n-2\right)\left(n^2-4\right)}a\left(x_o\right)-\frac{n}{8\left(n-2\right)}\frac{\Delta f(x_o)}{f\left(x_o\right)}>0$$

*then there exists a* $\Lambda > 0$ *such that for any* $\lambda \in (0,\Lambda)$*, equation (1) have a non trivial solution of class* $C^{4,\theta}(M)$*,* $\alpha \in (0,1)$*.*

*If* $n = 6$ *and the condition* $S_g(x_o) > -3a(x_o)$ *is satisfied, then equation (1) has a solution* $u$ *of class* $C^{4,\theta}(M)$ *provided that* $\lambda \in (0,\Lambda)$*.*

In case of constant coefficients, equation (1) reduces to

$$\Delta^2 u + \alpha\Delta u + \beta u = f(x)\left|u\right|^{N-2}u + \lambda\left|u\right|^{q-2}u \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real constants; we get the existence of tree solutions.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose all the conditions of Theorem4 are satisfied and moreover* $\frac{\alpha^2}{4} > \beta$ *with* $\alpha > 0$*. Then equation (5) has beside a positive* $u^+$ *and a negative* $u^-$ *smooth solutions a third solution* $w$ *distinct from* $u^+$ *and* $u^-$*.*

The technique relies on critical points theory. To find solutions we use a method developed in [**1**]. The solutions appeared as critical points restricted to a suitable manifold. In the case of constant coefficients we obtain the existence of two s

Consider the functionals $J_\lambda$, $J_\lambda^+$ and $J_\lambda^-$ defined on $H_2$ by

(6)

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \int_M a(x)\left|\nabla u\right|^2 dv_g + \int_M b(x)u^2 dv_g\right) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_M \left|u\right|^q dv_g$$

$$-\frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x)\left|u\right|^N dv_g,$$

(6)

$$J_\lambda^+(u) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \int_M a(x)\left|\nabla u\right|^2 dv_g + \int_M b(x)u^2 dv_g\right) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_M \left(u^+\right)^q dv_g$$

$$-\frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x)\left(u^+\right)^N dv_g$$

and
(6)

$$J_\lambda^-(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2-\int_M a(x)\left|\nabla u\right|^2dv_g+\int_M b(x)u^2dv_g\right)-\frac{\lambda}{q}\int_M\left|u^-\right|^q dv_g$$
$$-\frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x)\left|u^-\right|^N dv_g$$

where $u^+=\max(u,0)$ and $u^-=\min(u,0)$.

Let

$$Q_\lambda(u)=\langle\nabla J_\lambda(u),u\rangle$$

and

$$Q_\lambda^\pm(u)=\left\langle\nabla J_\lambda^\pm(u),u\right\rangle$$

where $\langle\nabla J_\lambda(u),v\rangle$ denotes the value of $\nabla J_\lambda(u)$ at $v$.

We consider also the set

$$M_\lambda=\{u\in H_2:Q_\lambda(u)=0\text{ and }\|u\|\geq\rho>0\}$$

and

$$M_\lambda^\pm=\left\{u\in H_2:Q_\lambda^\pm(u)=0\text{ and }\|u\|\geq\rho>0\right\}.$$

Along this paper the functions $a$ and $b$ are taken such that

$$\|u\|^2=\|\Delta u\|_2^2-\int_M a(x)\left|\nabla u\right|^2dv_g+\int_M b(x)u^2dv_g$$

is a norm on $H_2^2(M)$ equivalent to the usual one: for example by letting $\max_{x\in M}a(x)<0$ and $\min_{x\in M}b(x)>0$ which is equivalent to assume that the operator $P(u)=\Delta^2u+a(x)\Delta u+b(x)u$ is coercive.

First we ensure that the manifold $M_\lambda$ ( respectively $M_\lambda^\pm$ ) is not empty.

**Lemma 1.** *There is a real $\lambda_o>0$ such that the set $M_\lambda$ is non empty for any $\lambda\in(0,\lambda_o)$.*

Proof. For $u\in H_2^2(M)$ with $\|u\|_{2,2}\geq\rho>0$ and $t>0$,

$$Q_\lambda(tu)=t^2\|u\|^2-\lambda t^q\|u\|_q^q-t^N\int_M f(x)\left|u\right|^N dv_g.$$

Put

$$\alpha(t)=\|u\|^2-t^{N-2}\int_M f(x)\left|u\right|^N dv_g$$

and

$$\beta(t)=\lambda t^{q-2}\|u\|_q^q.$$

The Sobolev inequality leads to

$$\alpha(t) \geq \|u\|^2 - \max_{x\in M} f(x)\left(\max\left((1+\epsilon)K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^N \|u\|^N_{H_2^2(M)} t^{N-2}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{K_\circ} = \inf{}_{u\in H_2^2(\mathbb{R}^n)-\{0\}} \frac{\|\Delta u\|_2^2}{\|u\|_N^2}$$

is the best constant in the Sobolev's embedding $H_2^2(R^n) \subset L^N(R^n)$ (see T. Aubin [**2**] ) and $A_\epsilon$ is a positive constant depending on $\epsilon$, and since the norms $\|.\|$ and $\|.\|_{H_2^2(M)}$ are equivalent, there is $\Lambda > 0$ such that

$$\alpha(t) \geq \|u\|^2 - \Lambda^{-\frac{N}{2}} \max_{x\in M} f(x)\left(\max\left((1+\epsilon)K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^N \|u\|^N t^{N-2}. \tag{7}$$

Combining the Hölder and the Sobolev inequalities and taking account of the equivalence of the norms $\|.\|$ and $\|.\|_{H_2^2(M)}$, we get

$$\beta(t) \leq \lambda V(M)^{1-\frac{2}{N}} \left(\max\left((1+\epsilon)K_o, A\right)\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|u\|^q t^{q-2}. \tag{8}$$

Let $\alpha_1(t)$ and $\beta_1(t)$ denote respectively right hand sides of inequalities (7) and (8); $\alpha_1(t)$ vanishes for

$$t_o = \frac{1}{\|u\|\left(\max_{x\in M} f(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{N-2}}\left(\max\left((1+\epsilon)K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{N}{2(N-2)}}}. \tag{9}$$

So if we choose

$$\|u\| = \frac{1}{\left(\max_{x\in M} f(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{N-2}}\left(\max\left((1+\epsilon)K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{N}{2(N-2)}}}$$

$t_o = 1$.

Now since $\alpha_1(t)$ and $\beta_1(t)$ are both decreasing functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\min_{x\in\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} \alpha_1(t) = \alpha_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{\left(1-2^{2-N}\right)}{\left(\max_{x\in M} f(x)\right)^{\frac{2}{N-2}}\left(\max\left((1+\epsilon)K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{N}{(N-2)}}} \\ &\geq \left(1-2^{2-N}\right)\rho^2 > 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\min_{x\in\left(0,\frac{t_o}{2}\right)} \beta_1(t) = \beta_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2^{2-q}\lambda V(M)^{\left(1-\frac{2}{N}\right)}}{\left(\max_{x\in M} f(x)\right)^{\frac{q}{N-2}}\left(\max\left((1+\epsilon)K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{q-2+N}{N-2}}} > 0.$$

The equation $Q_\lambda(u) = 0$ admits a solution if $\min_{x\in\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} \alpha_1(t) \geq \min_{x\in\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} \beta_1(t)$ that is to say if

$$0 < \lambda < \lambda_o = \frac{\left(2^{q-2}-2^{q-N}\right)V(M)^{\left(1-\frac{2}{N}\right)}}{\left(\max_{x\in M} f(x)\right)^{\frac{q-2}{N-2}}\left(\max\left((1+\epsilon)K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{q-2}{N-2}}}.$$

Hence the set $M_\lambda$ is nonempty for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_o)$.

Since $Q^{\pm}_\lambda(u) \geqslant Q_\lambda(u)$ the same calculations lead to the conclusion. $\square$

## 2. Palais-Smale conditions

**Lemma 2.** *There is* $A > 0$ *such that* $J_\lambda(u) \geq A > 0$ *( resp.* $J_\lambda(u) \geq A > 0$ *) for any* $u \in M_\lambda$ *with* $\lambda \in (0, \min(\lambda_o, \lambda_1))$ *where* $\lambda_1 = \frac{\frac{(N-2)\Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}}}{2(N-q)}}{V(M)^{1-\frac{2}{N}}(\max((1+\epsilon)K_o,A_\epsilon))^{\frac{q}{2}}\rho^{q-2}}$ *and* $\lambda_o$ *is as in Lemma 1.*

Proof. Let $u \in M_\lambda$, then

$$\|u\|^2 = \lambda \int_M |u|^q \, dv_g + \int_M f(x) \, |u|^N \, dv_g$$

so on $M_\lambda$ the functional $J_\lambda$ writes

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{N-2}{2N} \|u\|^2 - \lambda \frac{N-q}{Nq} \int_M |u|^q \, dv_g.$$

Combining Hölder and Sobolev inequalities we obtain

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{N-2}{2N} \|u\|^2 - \lambda \frac{N-q}{Nq} V(M)^{1-\frac{2}{N}} \left(\max\left((1+\epsilon) K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \|u\|^q_{H^2_2(M)}$$

and still taking account of the equivalence of the norms $\|.\|_{H^2_2(M)}$ and $\|.\|$, we obtain

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \left( \frac{N-2}{2N} - \lambda \frac{N-q}{Nq} \Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}} V(M)^{1-\frac{2}{N}} \left(\max\left((1+\epsilon) K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \rho^{q-2} \right) \|u\|^2$$

where $\Lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Hence if

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{\frac{N-2}{2(N-q)} \Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}}}{V(M)^{1-\frac{2}{N}} \left(\max\left((1+\epsilon) K_o, A_\epsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \rho^{q-2}}$$

then

$$J_\lambda(u) > 0$$

for any $u \in M_\lambda$.

Since $J^{\pm}_\lambda(u) \geqslant J_\lambda(u)$ we have the conclusion to $J^{\pm}_\lambda(u)$. $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let* $(M, g)$ *be a* $n$*- Riemannian manifold,* $n \geq 5$. *The following assertions are true*

*(i)* $(\nabla Q_\lambda(u), u) \leq -A < 0$ *( resp.* $\left(\nabla Q^{\pm}_\lambda(u), u\right) \leq -A < 0$ *) , for* $u \in M_\lambda$ *and any* $\lambda \in (0, \min(\lambda_o, \lambda_1))$

*(ii) The critical points of* $J_\lambda$ *( resp.* $J^{\pm}_\lambda$ *) are points of* $M_\lambda$ *( resp.* $M^{\pm}_\lambda$ *).*

Proof. (i) Let $u \in M_\lambda$, then

$$\|u\|^2 = \lambda \int_M |u|^q \, dv_g + \int_M f(x) \, |u|^N \, dv_g$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla Q_\lambda(u), u \rangle &= 2\,\|u\|^2 - \lambda q \int_M |u|^q \, dv_g - N \int_M f(x) \, |u|^N \, dv_g \\ &= 2\,\|u\|^2 - \lambda q \int_M |u|^q \, dv_g - N \left( \|u\|^2 - \lambda \int_M |u|^q \, dv_g \right) \\ &= (2-N)\,\|u\|^2 + \lambda\,(N-q)\,\|u\|_q^q .\end{aligned}$$

The combination of Hölder and Sobolev inequalities allows us to write

$$\langle \nabla Q_\lambda(u), u \rangle \leq (2-N)\,\|u\|^2 + \lambda\,(N-q)\,V(M)^{1-\frac{2}{N}} \left(\max\left(1+\epsilon\right) K_o, A_\epsilon\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \|u\|_{H_2^2(M)}^q$$

and since the norms $\|.\|$ and $\|.\|_{H_2^2(M)}$ are equivalent, we get

$$\langle \nabla Q_\lambda(u), u \rangle \leq \left( (2-N) + \lambda\,(N-q)\,V(M)^{1-\frac{2}{N}} \Lambda^{q-2} \left(\max\left(1+\epsilon\right) K_o, A_\epsilon\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \rho^{q-2} \right) \|u\|^2 .$$

Hence if

$$0 < \lambda < \lambda_1 = \frac{\frac{N-2}{2(N-q)} \Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}}}{V(M)^{1-\frac{2}{N}} \left(\max\left(1+\epsilon\right) K_o, A_\epsilon\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \rho^{q-2}}$$

then for any $u \in M_\lambda$.

$$\langle \nabla Q_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0$$

(ii) By the Lagrange multiplicators theorem we get the existence of a real number $\mu$ such that for any $u \in M_\lambda$

$$\nabla J_\lambda(u) = \mu \nabla Q_\lambda(u)$$

and by testing at the point $u \in M_\lambda$, we obtain

$$Q_\lambda(u) = \langle \nabla J_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \mu \, \langle \nabla Q_\lambda(u), u \rangle$$

and since $\langle \nabla Q_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0$, we get necessarily that $\mu = 0$;

Hence for any $u \in M_\lambda$

$$\nabla J_\lambda(u) = 0.$$

The same computations are carried to conclude for $\left\langle \nabla Q_\lambda^{\pm}(u), u \right\rangle$ and $J_\lambda^{\pm}$. □

**Lemma 4.** *Let* $(u_n)_n$ *be a sequence in* $M_\lambda$ *( resp.* $M_\lambda^\pm$ *) such that*

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \leq c \text{ ( resp. } J_\lambda^\pm(u_n) \leq c \text{ )}$$

*and*

$$\nabla J_\lambda(u_n) - \mu_n \nabla Q_n(u_n) \to 0 \text{ ( resp. } \nabla J_\lambda^\pm(u_n) - \mu_n \nabla Q_n^\pm(u_n) \to 0 \text{ ).}$$

*Suppose that*

$$c < \frac{2}{nK_o^{\frac{n}{4}} \max_{x\in M} f(x)^{\frac{n}{4}-1}}$$

*then there is a subsequence of* $(u_n)_n$ *converging strongly in* $H_2^2(M)$.

Proof. Let $(u_n)_n \subset M_\lambda$

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \frac{N-2}{2N}\left\|u_n\right\|^2 - \lambda\frac{N-q}{Nq}\int_M \left|u_n\right|^q dv(g)$$

We have

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \geq \frac{N-2}{2N}\left\|u_n\right\|^2 - \lambda\frac{N-q}{Nq}\Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}}V(M)^{1-\frac{q}{N}}(\max((1+\varepsilon)K_\circ, A_\varepsilon))^{\frac{q}{2}}\left\|u_n\right\|^q$$

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \geq \left\|u_n\right\|^2(\frac{N-2}{2N} - \lambda\frac{N-q}{Nq}\Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}}V(M)^{1-\frac{q}{N}}(\max((1+\varepsilon)K_\circ, A_\varepsilon))^{\frac{q}{2}}\left\|u_n\right\|^{q-2})$$

with $0 < \lambda < \frac{\frac{(N-2)q}{2(N-q)}\Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}}}{V(M)^{1-\frac{q}{N}}(\max((1+\varepsilon)K_\circ,A_\varepsilon))^{\frac{q}{2}}\|u\|^{q-2}}$ .

On the other hand, we have

$$c \geq J_\lambda(u_n)$$

$$\geq [\frac{N-2}{2N} - \lambda\frac{N-q}{Nq}\Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}}V(M)^{1-\frac{q}{N}}(\max((1+\varepsilon)K_\circ, A_\varepsilon))^{\frac{q}{2}}\left\|u_n\right\|^{q-2}]\left\|u_n\right\|^2 > 0$$

hence

$$0 \leq \left\|u_n\right\|^2 \leq \frac{c}{\frac{N-2}{2N} - \lambda\frac{N-q}{Nq}\Lambda^{-\frac{q}{2}}V(M)^{1-\frac{q}{N}}(\max((1+\varepsilon)K_\circ, A_\varepsilon))^{\frac{q}{2}}\rho^{q-2}} < +\infty.$$

So $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $H_2^2(M)$. Since $H_2^2(M)$ is reflexive and the embedding $H_2^2(M) \subset H_p^k(M)$ $(k = 0, 1;\ p < N)$ is compact and we have

. $u_n \to u$ weakly in $H_2^2(M)$.
. $u_n \to u$ strongly in $H_p^k(M)$ ; $p < N$.
. $u_n \to u$ a.e. in $M$.

The Brezis-Lieb lemma allows us to write

$$\int_M \left|\Delta u_n\right|^2 dv_g = \int_M \left|\Delta u\right|^2 dv_g + \int_M \left|\Delta(u_n - u)\right|^2 dvg + o(1)$$

and also

$$\int_M f(x)\left|u_n\right|^N dv_g=\int_M f(x)\left|u\right|^N dv_g+\int_M f(x)\left|u_n-u\right|^N dv_g+o(1).$$

We claim that $u\in M_\lambda$, indeed since

$u_n\to u$ weakly in $H_2^2(M)$, we have for any $\phi\in H_2^2(M)$,

$$\int_M\left(\Delta u_n\Delta\phi-a(x)\left\langle\nabla u_n,\nabla\phi\right\rangle+au_n\phi\right)\,dv_g=$$

$$\int_M\left(\Delta u\Delta\phi-a(x)\left\langle\nabla u,\nabla\phi\right\rangle+au\phi\right)dv_g+o(1)$$

and in particular if we let $\phi=u$,

$$\int_M\left(\Delta u_n\Delta u-a(x)\left\langle\nabla u_n,\nabla u\right\rangle+au_nu\right)dv_g=\left\|u\right\|^2+o(1)$$

and also if we put $\phi=u_n$, we obtain

$$\int_M\left(\Delta u_n\Delta u-a(x)\left\langle\nabla u_n,\nabla u\right\rangle+au_nu\right)dv_g=\left\|u_n\right\|^2+o(1).$$

Now since $(u_n)_n$ belongs to $M_\lambda$, we get

$$\int_M\left(\lambda\left|u_n\right|^{q-2}u_nu+f(x)\left|u_n\right|^{N-2}u_nu\right)dv(g)\ =\left\|u\right\|^2+o(1)$$

and by letting $n\to+\infty$

$$\int_M\left(\lambda\left|u_n\right|^{q-2}u_nu+f(x)\left|u_n\right|^{N-2}u_nu\right)dv(g)\to\int_M\left(\lambda\left|u\right|^q+f(x)\left|u\right|^N\right)dv(g).$$

Hence

$$\Phi_\lambda(u_n)=\Phi_\lambda(u)=\left\|u\right\|^2-\lambda\int_M\left|u\right|^q dv(g)-\int_M f(x)\left|u\right|^N dv(g)=0$$

and we have

$$\left\|u\right\|+o(1)=\left\|u_n\right\|\geq\rho.$$

Consequently $u\in M_\lambda$.

Also we claim that $\mu_n\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$ in fact testing with $u_n$, we get

$$\left\langle\nabla J_\lambda(u_n)-\mu_n\nabla\Phi_\lambda(u_n),u_n\right\rangle=o(1)$$

$$=\underbrace{\left\langle\nabla J_\lambda(u_n),u_n\right\rangle}_{=0}-\mu_n\left\langle\nabla\Phi_\lambda(u_n),u_n\right\rangle=o(1).$$

hence

$$\mu_n\left\langle\nabla\Phi_\lambda(u_n),u_n\right\rangle=o(1)$$

and by Lemma 3, we have

$$\limsup_n \langle \nabla\Phi_\lambda(u_n), u_n\rangle < 0$$

so $\mu_n \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.
We are going to show now that $u_n \to u$ converges strongly in $H_2^2(M)$.
First we have

$$J_\lambda(u_n) - J_\lambda(u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_M (\Delta(u_n-u))^2\,dv_g - \frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x)\,|u_n-u|^N\,dv_g + o(1) \tag{10}$$

and since $u_n - u \to 0$ converges weakly in $H_2^2(M)$, by testing $\nabla J_\lambda(u_n) - \nabla J_\lambda(u)$, we get

$$\langle \nabla J_\lambda(u_n) - \nabla J_\lambda(u), u_n - u\rangle = o(1)$$

$$= \int_M (\Delta(u_n-u))^2\,dv_g - \int_M f(x)\,|u_n-u|^N\,dv_g = o(1)$$

that is to say

$$\int_M (\Delta_g(u_n-u))^2\,dv_g = \int_M f(x)\,|u_n-u|^N\,dv_g + o(1) \tag{11}$$

hence taking account of (10), we obtain

$$J_\lambda(u_n) - J_\lambda(u) = \frac{2}{n}\int_M (\Delta(u_n-u))^2\,dv_g + o\left(1\right).$$

The Sobolev inequality allows us to write

$$\|u_n-u\|_N^2 \le (1+\varepsilon)K_\circ \int_M (\Delta(u_n-u))^2\,dv_g + o(1)$$

so

$$\int_M f(x)\,|u_n-u|^N\,dv_g \le (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \max_{x\in M} f(x) K_\circ^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^N + o(1). \tag{12}$$

Taking account of equality (11), one writes

$$o(1) \ge \|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^2 - (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \max_{x\in M} f(x) K_\circ^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^N + o(1)$$

$$\ge \|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^2 \,(\left(1 - (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \max_{x\in M} f(x) K_\circ^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^{N-2}\right) + o(1).$$

Hence if

$$\limsup_n \|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^{N-2} < \frac{1}{((1+\varepsilon)K_o)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \max_{x\in M} f(x)}$$

we get

$$\frac{2}{n}\int_M |\Delta_g(u_n-u)|^2 \, dv(g) < c.$$

Since

$$c < \frac{2}{n \ K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}} (\max \ _{x\in M} f(x))^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}$$

it follows that

$$\int_M (\Delta(u_n-u))^2 \, dv_g < \frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}} (\max_{x\in M} f(x))^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}.$$

Consequently

$$o(1) \geq \|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^2 \underbrace{(1-(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \max_{x\in M} f(x) K_\circ^{\frac{n}{n-4}} \ \|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^{N-2})}_{>0} + o(1)$$

which shows that

$$\|\Delta(u_n-u)\|_2^2 = o(1)$$

and $u_n \to u$ converges strongly in $H_2^2(M)$. □

**Theorem 6.** *Let $(M,g)$ be an $n$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with $n \geqslant 6$ and $f$ be a smooth positive function. Assume that the operator $P(u) = \Delta^2 u + \bigtriangledown^i (a(x) \bigtriangledown_i u) + b(x)u$ is coercive and*

$$c < \frac{2}{n K_o^{\frac{n}{4}} \max_{x\in M} f(x)^{\frac{n}{4}-1}}$$

*Then there exists a $\Lambda > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in (0,\Lambda)$, equation (1) have a non trivial weak solution.*

Proof. According to Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we infer the existence of $v \in M_\lambda$ such that $J_\lambda(v) = \min_{u\in M_\lambda} J_\lambda$. So there is a real $\mu$ such that

$$\bigtriangledown J_\lambda(v) = \mu \bigtriangledown Q_\lambda(v)$$

and multiplying by $v$ and taking account of Lemma3 we obtain that $\mu = 0$. Hence $v$ is a non solution of equation (1). □

## 3. Multiplicity of solutions in case of constant coefficients

When $P_g$ has constant coefficients, we set

$$J_\lambda^+(u) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \alpha\int_M |\nabla u|^2\, dv_g + \beta\int_M u^2 dv_g\right) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_M u^{+q} dv_g$$
$$-\frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x) u^{+N} dv_g$$

where

$$u^+ = \max(u, 0)$$

Critical points of $J_\lambda^+$ are solutions to

$$\Delta^2 u + \alpha\Delta u + \beta u = \lambda\left(\left(u^+\right)^{q-2} + f\left(u^+\right)^{N-2}\right)u^+. \tag{13}$$

Similar arguments as the ones used in the precedent sections give that $J_\lambda^+$ has a critical point $u$. Standard arguments show that $u$ is of class $C^{4,\theta}$ with $\theta \in (0,1)$. If $\alpha^2 - 4\beta > 0$, we let $x_1 = \frac{\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2}$ and $x_2 = \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2}$ and moreover if $\alpha > 0$, then $x_1$, $x_2 > 0$ and

$$(\Delta + x_1)(\Delta + x_2) u = \Delta^2 u + \alpha\Delta u + \beta u \geq 0.$$

Applying the maximum principle twice, we obtain that $u$ is a positive solution of class $C^{4,\theta}$, where $\theta \in (0,1)$ of the equation

$$\Delta^2 u + \alpha\Delta u + \beta u = \lambda\left(u^{q-1} + f u^{N-1}\right).$$

and standard regularity results give that $u$ is smooth.

In the same manner if we set

$$J_\lambda^-(u) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \alpha\int_M |\nabla u|^2\, dv_g + \beta\int_M u^2 dv_g\right) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_M \left|u^{-q}\right| dv_g$$
$$-\frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x)\left|u^-\right|^N dv_g$$

where

$$u^- = \min(u, 0)$$

then the critical points of $J_\lambda^-$ are solutions to

$$\Delta^2 u + \alpha\Delta u + \beta u = \lambda\left(\left|u^-\right|^{q-2} + f\left|u^-\right|^{N-2}\right)u^-.$$

By the same argument as above we get that $u^-$ is a negative smooth solution. Similar arguments as the ones we used for $J_\lambda$ give that $J_\lambda^+$ and $J_\lambda^-$ have critical points $M_\lambda^+$ and $M_\lambda^-$ respectively where

$$M_\lambda^\pm = \left\{u \in H_2 : Q_\lambda^\pm(u) = 0 \text{ and } \|u\| \geq \rho > 0\right\}$$

and

$$Q_\lambda^\pm(u) = \left\langle \bigtriangledown J_\lambda^\pm(u), u \right\rangle.$$

Summarizing, we get

**Theorem 7.** *Let $(M,g)$ be an $n$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with $n \geqslant 6$. Assume that the operator $P(u) = \Delta^2 u + \bigtriangledown^i(a(x)\bigtriangledown_i u) + b(x)u$ is coercive and*

$$c < \frac{2}{nK_o^{\frac{n}{4}} \max_{x\in M} f(x)^{\frac{n}{4}-1}}.$$

*If moreover $\frac{\alpha^2}{4} > \beta$ with $\alpha > 0$. Then equation (5) has two distinct smooth solutions; one positive and the other negative.*

**Lemma 5.** *For any $\lambda > 0$, sufficiently small, $J_\lambda$ has two local minima.*

Proof. We follow closely the proof of Lemma 8 in [**2**]. As a consequence of Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Lemma **??**, we infer the existence of $v_1 \in M_\lambda^+$ and a $v_2 \in M_\lambda^-$ such that

$$J_\lambda^+(v_1) = \min_{u \in M_\lambda^+} J_\lambda^+(u)$$

and

$$J_\lambda^-(v_2) = \min_{u \in M_\lambda^-} J_\lambda^-(u).$$

Note that $v_1$ and $v_2$ are respectively smooth positive and negative solutions of equation (5). Indeed by Lagrange mutiplicators theorem we get that

$$\bigtriangledown J_\lambda^+(v_1) = \mu \bigtriangledown Q_\lambda^+(v_1)$$

and multiplying by $v_1$ we deduce that

$$\mu = 0$$

Hence $v_1$ is a solution of (13) and as in section 3 we get that $v_1$ positive, hence a positive solution of equation ( 5). $v_2$ is actually a negative solution of (5). We claim that $v_1$ and $v_2$ are local minima of $J_\lambda$ if it is not the case let $w_n \in M_\lambda$ such that $w_n \to v_1$ in $H_2^2$ as $n \to +\infty$ and

$$J_\lambda(w_n) < J_\lambda^+(v_1) \tag{14}$$

We can choose $w_n$ as

$$J_\lambda(w_n) = \inf_{u \in B_n \cap M_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) \tag{15}$$

where $B_n = \left\{ u \in \|u - v_1\|_{H_1^2} \leq \frac{1}{n} \right\}$. There exist parameters $\lambda_n$ and $\mu_n$ such that

$$\nabla J_\lambda(w_n) = \lambda_n \nabla Q_\lambda(w_n) + \mu_n \left( \Delta^2 w_n + \alpha \Delta w_n + \beta w_n \right) \tag{16}$$

with $\mu_n \leq 0$. Taking the inner product of the latter equality with $w_n$, we get

$$\lambda_n \left\langle \nabla Q_\lambda(w_n), w_n \right\rangle + \mu_n \|w_n\|_{H_1^2}^2 = 0$$

and we infer that $\lambda_n \leq 0$.

Equation reads as

$$\Delta^2 w_n + \alpha \Delta w_n + \beta w_n = \frac{(-\lambda_n - \mu_n)}{(1 - \lambda_n - \mu_n)} f \left| w_n \right|^{N-2} w_n.$$

By standard methods, $w_n$ is of class $C^{4,\theta}$ , $0 < \theta < 1$. Hence $w_n$ goes to $v_1$ in the $C^2$ topology, then $w_n > 0$. So (15) is a contradiction with (14). Hence $v_1$ and $v_2$ are respectively positive and negative solution of equation (5) of minimal positive energy. □

Next we prove

**Theorem 8.** *Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with $n \geqslant 6$. Assume that the operator $P(u) = \Delta^2 u + \nabla^i (a(x) \nabla_i u) + b(x)u$ is coercive and*

$$c < \frac{2}{n K_o^{\frac{n}{4}} \max_{x \in M} f(x)^{\frac{n}{4} - 1}}.$$

*If moreover $\frac{\alpha^2}{4} > \beta$ with $\alpha > 0$. Then equation (5) has third solution $w$ distinct of $u^+$ and $u^-$.*

Proof. We can suppose that the minima of $J_\lambda$ are realized by $u^+$ and $u^-$ . The geometric conditions of the Mountain pass theorem are satisfied. If $\Gamma$ denotes the set of paths $\gamma : [0,1] \to M_\lambda$ such that $\gamma(0) = u^-$ and $\gamma(1) = u^+$. Let $c_\lambda = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left( J_\lambda(\gamma) \right))$. By Lemma 3, we infer that $c_\lambda$ is a critical level of the function $J_\lambda$ with critical value $w$ and by Lemma 3 $w \in M_\lambda$. Hence $w$ is solution of equation (5) different from $u^+$ and $u^-$. □

## 4. Test functions

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 4 and 5.
Let $(y^1, ..., y^n)$ be normal coordinates centred at the point $x_\circ$ where the function attains its maximum and $S(r)$ be the geodesic sphere centred at $x_o$ and of radius $r$ ( $r < d$ the injectivity radius ). Denote by $d\sigma$ the volume element of the $n-1$-dimensional unit $S^{n-1}$.

Put

$$G(r)=\frac{1}{w_{n-1}}\int\limits_{S(r)}\sqrt{|g(x)|}d\sigma$$

where $w_{n-1}$ denotes the area of $S^{n-1}$ and $|g(x)|$ the determinant of the metric $g$. An expansion of $G(r)$ in a neighborhood of $r=0$ writes as

$$G(r)=1-\frac{S_g(x_\circ)}{6n}r^2+o(r^2)$$

where $S_g(x_\circ)$ denotes the scalar curvature of $M$ at the point $x_\circ$.
Let $B(x_\circ,\delta)$ be the ball centred at $x_\circ$ and of radius $\delta$ with $0<2\delta<d$ and let $\eta$ be a smooth function equals to 1 on $B\left(x_o,\delta\right)$ and equals to 0 on $M-B\left(x_o,2\delta\right)$.
Put

$$u_\epsilon(x)=(\frac{(n-4)n(n^2-4)\epsilon^4}{f(x_\circ)})^{\frac{n-4}{8}}\frac{\eta(r)}{(r^2+\epsilon^2)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}$$

where

$$f(x_\circ)=\max_{x\in M}f(x)$$

and $r=d(x_\circ,.)$ is geodesic distance to the point $x_\circ$.
We let, for $p-q>1$,

$$I_p^q=\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{t^q}{(1+t)^p}dt$$

which fulfills

$$I_{p+1}^q=\frac{p-q-1}{p}I_p^q \quad\text{and}\quad I_{p+1}^{q+1}=\frac{q+1}{p-q-1}I_{p+1}^q.$$

In the case where the dimension of the manifold $n>6$, we have

**Theorem 9.** *Let $(M,g)$ be an $n$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with $n>6$. If at the point $x_o$ where the function $f$ achieves its maximum*

$$\frac{n\left(n^2+4n-20\right)}{2\left(n-2\right)\left(n^2-4\right)}S_g\left(x_o\right)+\frac{n\left(n-6\right)}{\left(n-2\right)\left(n^2-4\right)}a\left(x_o\right)-\frac{n}{8\left(n-2\right)}\frac{\Delta f(x_o)}{f\left(x_o\right)}>0$$

*equation (1) have a non trivial solution of class $C^{4,\alpha}(M)$, $\alpha\in(0,1)$.*

Proof. As in ([**7**]), we get

$$\int_M f(x)\left|u_\epsilon(x)\right|^N dv(g)=\frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}\left(1-(\frac{\Delta f(x_\circ)}{2(n-2)f(x_\circ)}+\frac{S_g(x_\circ)}{6(n-2)})\epsilon^2+o(\epsilon^2)\right)$$

and also

$$\int_M a(x)\left|\nabla u_\epsilon\right|^2 dv(g) = \frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}\left(\frac{4(n-1)a(x_\circ)}{(n^2-4)(n-6)}\epsilon^2 + o(\epsilon^2)\right).$$

The computations give

$$\int_M b(x)u_\epsilon^2 dv(g) = o(\epsilon^2)$$

and

$$\int_M \left|\Delta u_\epsilon\right|^2 dv(g) = \frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}\left(1 - \frac{n^2+4n-20}{6(n^2-4)(n-6)}S_g(x_\circ)\epsilon^2 + o(\epsilon^2)\right).$$

Summarizing we obtain

$$\int_M \left|\Delta u_\epsilon\right|^2 - a(x)\left|\nabla u_\epsilon\right|^2 + b(x)u_\epsilon^2 dv(g) = \frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}$$

$$\left(1 - (\frac{n^2+4n-20}{6(n^2-4)(n-6)}S_g(x_\circ) + \frac{4(n-1)}{(n^2-4)(n-6)}a(x_\circ))\epsilon^2 + o(\epsilon^2)\right).$$

Taking in mind that

$$J_\lambda\left(u_\epsilon\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_\epsilon\right\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\left\|u_\epsilon\right\|_q^q - \frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x)\left|u_\epsilon(x)\right|^N dv(g)$$

where

$$\left\|u_\epsilon\right\|^2 = \int_M \left|\Delta u_\epsilon\right|^2 - a(x)\left|\nabla u_\epsilon\right|^2 + b(x)u_\epsilon^2 dv(g)$$

and since $\lambda > 0$, we get

$$J_\lambda\left(u_\epsilon\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_\epsilon\right\|^2 - \frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x)\left|u_\epsilon(x)\right|^N dv(g)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}\left[\frac{2}{n} - \left(\frac{n^2+4n-20}{(n^2-4)(n-6)}S_g(x_\circ) + \frac{2(n-1)}{(n^2-4)(n-6)}a(x_\circ) - \frac{1}{4(n-2)}\frac{\Delta f(x_\circ)}{f(x_\circ)}\right)\epsilon^2\right.$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n\ K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}\left[1 - \left(\frac{(n^2+4n-20)\,n}{2(n^2-4)(n-6)}S_g(x_\circ) + \frac{(n-1)\ n}{(n^2-4)(n-6)}a(x_\circ) - \frac{n}{8(n-2)}\frac{\Delta f(x_\circ)}{f(x_\circ)}\right)\right.$$

So the condition

$$J_\lambda\left(u_\epsilon\right) < \frac{2}{n\ K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}$$

is fulfilled if

$$\left(\frac{(n^2+4n-20)\,n}{2(n^2-4)(n-6)}S_g(x_\circ) + \frac{(n-1)\ n}{(n^2-4)(n-6)}a(x_\circ) - \frac{n}{8(n-2)}\frac{\Delta f(x_\circ)}{f(x_\circ)}\right) > 0.$$

$\square$

In the case $n = 6$, we have

PROOF. The same calculations as in case $n > 6$ lead to

$$\int_M f(x)\left|u_\epsilon(x)\right|^N dv(g) = \frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}\left(1-\left(\frac{\Delta f(x_\circ)}{2(n-2)f(x_\circ)}+\frac{S_g(x_\circ)}{6(n-2)}\right)\epsilon^2+o(\epsilon^2)\right). \tag{17}$$

Also the same computations as in [**7**] with minor modifications allow us to write

$$\int_M a(x)\left|\nabla u_\epsilon\right|^2 dv(g) = (n-4)^2\left(\frac{(n-4)n(n^2-4)}{f(x_\circ)}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}\frac{w_{n-1}}{2}\left(a(x_\circ)\epsilon^2\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)\ +O(\epsilon^2)\right)$$

and

$$\int_M \left|\Delta u_\epsilon\right|^2 dv(g) = (n-4)^2\left(\frac{(n-4)n(n^2-4)}{f(x_\circ)}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}\frac{w_{n-1}}{2}$$

$$\left(\frac{n(n+2)(n-2)}{(n-4)}I_n^{\frac{n}{2}-1}-\frac{2}{n}S_g(x_\circ)\epsilon^2\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)+O(\epsilon^2)\right).$$

Consequently

$$\int_M \left(\Delta u_\epsilon\right)^2 - a(x)\left|\nabla u_\epsilon\right|^2 + b(x)u_\epsilon^2 dv(g) = (n-4)^2\left(\frac{(n-4)n(n^2-4)}{f(x_\circ)}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}\frac{w_{n-1}}{2}$$

$$\left[\frac{n(n+2)(n-2)}{(n-4)}I_n^{\frac{n}{2}-1}-\left(\frac{2}{n}S_g(x_\circ)+a(x_\circ)\right)\epsilon^2\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)+O(\epsilon^2)\right]$$

$$=\frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}\left(1-\frac{(n-4)}{n\left(n^2-4\right)I_n^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}\left(\frac{2}{n}S_g(x_\circ)+a(x_\circ)\right)\epsilon^2\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)+O(\epsilon^2)\right)$$

and taking account of (17), we obtain

$$J_\lambda\left(u_\epsilon\right) \le \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_\epsilon\right\|^2 - \frac{1}{N}\int_M f(x)\left|u_\epsilon(x)\right|^N dv(g)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{K_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{N}-\frac{(n-4)\,t_o^2}{2n\left(n^2-4\right)I_n^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}\left(\frac{2}{n}S_g(x_\circ)+a(x_\circ)\right)\epsilon^2\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)+O(\epsilon^2)\right).$$

So if in the point $x_o$ where the maximum of the function $f$ is achieved, the condition $\frac{2}{n}S_g(x_\circ)+a(x_\circ)>0$ i.e. since $n=6$, $S_g(x_\circ)>-3a(x_\circ)$ is fulfilled, we get for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small

$$J_\lambda\left(u_\epsilon\right) < \frac{2}{nK_\circ^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(f(x_\circ)\right)^{\frac{n-4}{4}}}.$$

□

## References

[1] A. Ambrosetti, Critical points and nonlinear variational problems. Soc. Mathem. de France, mémoire, 49,vol. 20, fascicule 2, (1992).

[2] A. Ambrosetti, J. G. Azorero, Multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic equations. J. Funct. Anal.137, 219-242, (1996) 219-242.

[3] M. Benalili, Existence and multiplicity of solutions to elliptic equations of fourth order on compact manifolds. Dynamics of PDE, vol.6, 3 (2009), 203-225.

[4] M.Benalili, Existence and multiplicity of solutions to fourth order elliptic equations with critical exponent on compact manifolds, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 17 ( 2010 ).

[5] H.Brézis, E.A.Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals., Proc.A.m.s.88(1983), 486-490.

[6] D.Caraffa, Equations elliptiques du quatrième ordre avec un exposent critiques sur les variétés Riemanniennes compactes, J. Math. Pures appl.80(9)(2001) 941-960.

[7] Z.Djadli, E.Hebeyand M.Ledoux, Paneitz-Type operators and applications, Duke.Mat.Journal.104-1(2000), 129-169.

[8] R. Van der Vorst, Fourth order elliptic equations, with critical growth, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris t.320, série I, (1995), 295-299.

Faculty of Sciences, Dept. Math. University Aboubakr BelKaïd. Tlemcen Algeria.

*E-mail address*: `m_benalili@mail.univ-tlemcen.dz`

*Current address*: Faculty of Sciences, Dept. Math. University Aboubakr BelKaïd. Tlemcen Algeria.